## inorganic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

# Trithallium hydrogen bis(sulfate), Tl<sub>3</sub>H(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, in the super-ionic phase by X-ray powder diffraction

# Yasumitsu Matsuo,\* Shinya Kawachi, Yuya Shimizu and Seiichiro Ikehata

Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science, Tokyo University of Science, 1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan Correspondence e-mail: ymatsuo@rs.kagu.sut.ac.jp

Received 2 April 2002 Accepted 10 May 2002 Online 12 June 2002

The structure of trithallium hydrogen bis(sulfate),  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$ , in the super-ionic phase has been analyzed by Rietveld analysis of the X-ray powder diffraction pattern. Atomic parameters based on the isotypic  $Rb_3H(SeO_4)_2$  crystal in space group  $R\overline{3}m$  in the super-ionic phase were used as the starting model, because it has been shown from the comparison of thermal and electric properties in  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$ and  $M_3H(SO_4)_2$  type crystals (M = Rb, Cs or NH<sub>4</sub>) that the room-temperature  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$  phase is isostructural with the high-temperature R3m-symmetry  $M_3H(SO_4)_2$  crystals. The structure was determined in the trigonal space group  $R\overline{3}m$  and the Rietveld refinement shows that an hydrogen-bond O-H···O separation is slightly shortened compared with  $O-H \cdots O$  separations in isotypic  $M_3H(SeO_4)_2$  crystals. In addition, it was found that the distortion of the SO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra in  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$  is less than that in isotypic crystals.

## Comment

The Tl<sub>3</sub>H(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> crystal is one of a family of  $M_3$ H(XO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> compounds (M =Rb, Cs or NH<sub>4</sub>, and X =S or Se), known as zero-dimensional hydrogen-bonded systems. The  $M_3$ H( $XO_4$ )<sub>2</sub> crystals exhibit very interesting characteristics. First, the hydrogen bonds are isolated. Secondly, deuterated crystals show a drastic isotope effect at the low-temperature phase transition. Thirdly, they undergo a super-ionic phase transition from the low-temperature ferroelastic phase of the monoclinic system to the high-temperature paraelastic phase of the trigonal system; for example, Rb<sub>3</sub>H(SeO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Cs<sub>3</sub>H(SeO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> undergo super-ionic phase transitions at 449 and 456 K, respectively.

Recently, it was found that  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$  undergoes a superionic phase transition at 239 K and displays super-ionic conductivity even at room temperature (Matsuo *et al.*, 2001), in spite of the fact that other  $M_3H(XO_4)_2$ -type crystals are good insulators at room temperature. This interesting feature of the Tl<sub>3</sub>H(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> crystal would result from the change to the crystal structure due to placing Tl in the *M* site. Therefore, the determination of the structure of the Tl<sub>3</sub>H(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> crystal in the super-ionic phase is very important for understanding the origin of the super-ionic phase transition in  $M_3$ H(XO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-type crystals. However, no atomic coordinates of Tl<sub>3</sub>H(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in the super-ionic phase were known, although the unit-cell parameters at room temperature had been previously determined by Peter & Jolibois (1973) from X-ray powder diffraction measurements. It is very difficult to prepare good quality single crystals of this compound. Therefore, we have measured the X-ray powder diffraction pattern and determined the crystal structure of Tl<sub>3</sub>H(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in the super-ionic phase using Rietveld analysis.

It is known that  $M_3H(XO_4)_2$ -type crystals belong to the trigonal system, space group  $R\overline{3}m$ , in the highest-temperature phase below the melting point (Baranov *et al.*, 1987; Merinov *et al.*, 1990; Łukaszewicz *et al.*, 1993). Chen *et al.* (2000) recently deduced that a new (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>H(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> phase above 433 K also has  $R\overline{3}m$  symmetry. Moreover, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>H(SeO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, which is one of the  $M_3H(XO_4)_2$ -type crystals, is known to undergo four phase transitions at  $T_{I-II} = 335$  K,  $T_{II-III} = 308$  K,  $T_{III-IV} = 275$  K and  $T_{IV-V} = 181$  K (Gesi, 1977; Osaka *et al.*, 1979). Phase I, space group  $R\overline{3}m$ , and phase II, space group  $R\overline{3}$ , are super-ionic conductors (Pawłowski *et al.*, 1990; Łukaszewicz *et al.*, 1993). The phase transition at  $T_{I-II}$  is characterized by a small change in the temperature gradients



#### Figure 1

A view of the unit cell of  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2.$  The O2 atom occupies three equivalent positions with probability  $\frac{1}{3^*}$ 



#### Figure 2

The fitted diffraction profile for  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$ , showing calculated (line), observed (+) and difference (lower) profiles.

of the dielectric constant and electrical conductivity (Pawłowski et al., 1990). The Tl<sub>3</sub>H(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> crystal also undergoes four phase transitions above 77 K, at  $T_{I-II} = 267$  K,  $T_{\text{II-III}} = 239 \text{ K}, T_{\text{III-IV}} = 196 \text{ K} \text{ and } T_{\text{IV-V}} = 156 \text{ K} \text{ (Matsuo et}$ al., 2001).

In phases I and II,  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$  is a super-ionic conductor similar to  $(NH_4)_3H(SeO_4)_2$ . Furthermore, in the phase transition at  $T_{I-II} = 267$  K, the slopes of the dielectric constant and electrical conductivity show the same temperature dependence as (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>H(SeO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Matsuo et al., 2001). These facts indicate that the room-temperature  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$  phase is isostructural with the high-temperature  $R\overline{3}m$ -symmetry  $M_3H(XO_4)_2$  crystals. Therefore, a starting model based on the isotypic Rb<sub>3</sub>H(SeO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> crystal in space group  $R\overline{3}m$  of the superionic phase was used in the determination of the structure of the super-ionic phase of  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$ .

In the  $M_3H(XO_4)_2$ -type crystal, it is also known that the O2 atom which forms the hydrogen bond statistically occupies three equivalent positions with probability  $\frac{1}{3}$  in the super-ionic phase (Baranov et al., 1987; Merinov et al., 1990). We used a similarly disordered model here. Selected geometric parameters are given in Table 1. The crystal structure is shown in Fig. 1 and the fitted diffraction profile for  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$  is shown in Fig. 2.

The structure of Tl<sub>3</sub>H(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> consists of isolated SO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra with Tl atoms distributed between them. The unit-cell volume of  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$  is 674.65 (6) Å<sup>3</sup>. This is very small in comparison with the unit-cell volumes of  $733.5 \text{ \AA}^3$  for  $Rb_3H(SeO_4)_2$  (Baranov *et al.*, 1987) and 839.0 Å<sup>3</sup> for  $Cs_3H(SeO_4)_2$  (Merinov *et al.*, 1990) in the super-ionic phase. In the subsequent discussion, the crystal data for  $Rb_3H(SeO_4)_2$ and  $Cs_3H(SeO_4)_2$  are taken from Baranov *et al.* (1987) and Merinov et al. (1990), respectively.

The O-H···O hydrogen-bond distance of 2.626 (6) Å in  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$ . is slightly shorter than in the  $Rb_3H(SeO_4)_2$ (2.67 (2) Å) and  $Cs_3H(SeO_4)_2$  (2.71 Å) crystals. The S-O1 and S-O2 bond lengths in  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$  are 1.644 (6) and 1.640 (8) Å, respectively; thus, the S-O2 distance is very similar to the S-O1 distance. In contrast, in the isotypic

 $M_3$ H(SeO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> crystals, the Se-O2 distances [1.682 (9) Å for  $Rb_3H(SeO_4)_2$  and 1.70 (2) Å for  $Cs_3H(SeO_4)_2$ ] are considerably longer than the Se-O1 distances [1.617 (3) Å for  $(Rb_3H(SeO_4)_2)$  and 1.662 (8) Å for  $Cs_3H(SeO_4)_2$ ]. Thus, in  $Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$ , the distortion of the SO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra is less than that of the isotypic  $M_3$ H(SeO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> crystals.

It is known that the super-ionic phase transition is accompanied by a ferroelastic phase transition from the lowtemperature ferroelastic phase to the high-temperature paraelastic phase. That is, the distortion in the crystal is closely related to the super-ionic phase transition. Therefore, the changes of the crystal structure due to filling the M sites with Tl, in conjunction with the lesser distortion of the SO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra in comparison with the distortion of the tetrahedra in the isotypic crystals, would be the primary causes of the superionic phase transition at lower temperatures.

In this work, the coordinates of the H atom could not be determined, because H atoms migrate rapidly in the crystal in the super-ionic phase (Matsuo et al., 2001).

#### Experimental

Crystals of Tl<sub>3</sub>H(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> were obtained from aqueous solutions of Tl<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in a molar ratio of 3:2. The crystals were grown by slow evaporation from a saturated solution at 313 K after several recrystallizations for purification.

Crystal	data
---------	------

$Tl_3H(SO_4)_2$	Cu Ka radiation
$M_r = 806.28$	$\mu = 106.6 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
Trigonal, $R\overline{3}m$	T = 293  K
a = 5.9376 (4)  Å	Specimen shape: flat sheet
b = 5.9376 (4) Å	$15 \times 20 \times 1 \text{ mm}$
c = 22.0966 (9) Å	Specimen prepared at 313 K
V = 674.65 (6) Å <sup>3</sup>	Particle morphology: plate-like,
Z = 3	white
$D_x = 5.946 (1) \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

Data collection

Rigaku RINT-1400 diffractometer  $\theta/2\theta$  scans Specimen mounting: packed powder pellet

Refinement

$R_{p} = 0.065$	38 parameters
$R_{\rm wp}^{r} = 0.094$	H-atom parameters not refined
$R_{\rm exp} = 0.076$	Weighting scheme based on
S = 1.23	measured s.u.'s
$2\theta_{\min} = 5.000, 2\theta_{\max} = 110.0^{\circ}$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.01$
Increment in $2\theta = 0.006^{\circ}$	Preferred orientation correction:
Profile function: pseudo-Voigt	March-Dollase function, axis
268 reflections	(001) (Dollase, 1986)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °), including the O2···O2 hydrogenbond distance.

$\begin{array}{c} S1{-}O1\\S1{-}O2\\O1{\cdots}O1^i\end{array}$	1.644 (6) 1.641 (8) 2.65 (1)	$\begin{array}{c} O1 \cdots O2^{ii} \\ O2 \cdots O2^{iii} \end{array}$	2.586 (7) 2.626 (6)
$01 - S1 - O1^{i}$	107.52 (6)	$O1 - S1 - O2^{ii}$	103.85 (6)
Symmetry codes: (i) -	-r + v - r - r - r - r - r - r - r - r - r -	$r - v = z$ ; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} + v = r - \frac{1}{2}$	2 - 7

Specimen mounted in reflection

 $2\theta_{\min} = 5.000, 2\theta_{\max} = 110.0^{\circ}$ 

Increment in  $2\theta = 0.006^\circ$ 

mode

The diffraction data were analyzed by the Rietveld method, with the atomic parameters of the isotypic  $Rb_3H(SeO_4)_2$  in its super-ionic phase as a starting model (Baranov *et al.*, 1987). The profile shape was represented by a pseudo-Voigt function. In addition to the profile, lattice and structure parameters, the zero-point shift, ten background parameters, and the scale factor were determined with corrections for preferred orientation along (001) (the crystal shapes were very thin plates). Isotropic thermal vibrations were assumed. The interatomic distances and bond angles were calculated with *ORFFE* (Busing *et al.*, 1964).

Data collection: *RINT Server Software* (Rigaku, 1988); cell refinement: *RIETAN2000* (Izumi & Ikeda, 2000); data reduction: *RINT Server Software*; program(s) used to solve structure: *RIETAN2000*; program(s) used to refine structure: *RIETAN2000*; molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *RIETAN2000*.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IZ1021). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

## References

- Baranov, A. I., Makarova, I. P., Muradyan, L. A., Tregubchenko, A. V., Shuvalov, L. A. & Simonov, V. I. (1987). *Kristallografiya*, 32, 682–694.
- Busing, W. R., Martin, K. O. & Levy, H. A. (1964). ORFFE. Report ORNL-TM-306. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Chen, R. H., Chen, T. M. & Shern, C. S. (2000). J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 61, 1399– 1406.
- Dollase, W. A. (1986). J. Appl. Cryst. 19, 267-272.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2001). ORTEP-3 for Windows. Version 1.07. University of Glasgow, Scotland.
- Gesi, K. (1977). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 43, 1949-1953.
- Izumi, F. & Ikeda, T. (2000). Mater. Sci. Forum, 321-324, 198-203.
- Łukaszewicz, K., Pietraszko, A. & Augustyniak, M. A. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 430–433.
- Matsuo, Y., Takahashi, K. & Ikehata, S. (2001). Solid State Commun. 120, 85– 88.
- Merinov, B. V., Baranov, A. I. & Shuvalov, L. A. (1990). Kristallografiya, 35, 355–360.
- Osaka, T., Makita, Y. & Gesi, K. (1979). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 46, 577-580.
- Pawłowski, A., Pawlaczyk, Cz. & Hilczer, B. (1990). Solid State Ionics, 44, 17– 19.
- Peter, J. P. & Jolibois, B. (1973). Bull. Soc. Fr. Mineral. Cristallogr. 96, 313.
- Rigaku (1988). RINT Server Software. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.